

### Introduction

- Goal: Devise a distributed sensing framework for compressing and reconstructing finite-length autocorrelation sequences of WSS processes.
  - Employ network of sensors to transmit randomly filtered, single-bit quantized power measurements to fusion center.
  - Reconstruct autocorrelation sequence at fusion center from binary power measurements.

#### • Contributions:

- Maximum-Likelihood (ML) based reconstruction scheme proposed, vs. min. norm in previous work. ML is statistically more efficient, robust, but looks hard to solve.
- ii. Exploiting special problem structure, it is shown that the problem possesses hidden convexity, enabling optimal estimation. Extends hidden convexity result of previous work to ML case.



- Network of M scattered sensors with limited communication capabilities
- Sensor m equipped with FIR filter with random impulse response

$$g_m(n) = \begin{cases} \sim \mathcal{U}(\{1+j, 1-j, -1+j, -1-j\}) \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

• At  $i^{th}$  sampling instance, acquire data sample vector

$$\mathbf{x}_{m}^{(i)} = [x_{m}(i), x_{m}(i-1), \cdots, x_{m}(i-K+1)]$$

• Obtain random linear projections  $z_m^{(i)} = \mathbf{g}_m^H \mathbf{x}_m^{(i)}$  with average power

$$\rho_m := \mathbb{E}[|\boldsymbol{z}_m^{(i)}|^2] = \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{g}_m^H \mathbf{x}_m^{(i)}|^2] = \mathbf{g}_m^H \mathbf{R}_m$$

- Soft power estimates:  $\rho_m^{(N)} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |z_m^{(i)}|^2$
- Error due to insufficient sample averaging:  $e_m := \rho_m \rho_m^{(N)}$
- Errors approximately Gaussian by CLT, i.e.,  $e_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_m^2)$
- One bit quantization:  $b_m = \operatorname{sign}(\rho_m^{(N)} t) = \operatorname{sign}(\rho_m + e_m t)$
- Errors may result in flipped bits

# **DISTRIBUTED COMPRESSION AND MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD RECONSTRUCTION OF FINITE AUTOCORRELATION SEQUENCES Aritra Konar and Nicholas D. Sidiropoulos** University of Minnesota, USA

 $: n \in [0, K - 1]$ 

: otherwise

 $]^T \in \mathbb{C}^K$ 

 $x\mathbf{g}_m$ 

## Signal Model

$$r(k) = \left\{ \right.$$

and zeros elsewhere.

bandwidth L

Exploiting the structure of  $\mathbf{R}_x$ , we have  $ho_m = \mathbf{g}_m^H \mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{g}_m = \mathbf{h}^H \mathbf{C}_m \mathbf{h}$ where  $\mathbf{C}_m = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} c_m(k) \mathbf{\Theta}_k^{L+1}$  and  $c_m(k) = \mathbf{g}_m^H \mathbf{\Theta}_k^K \mathbf{g}_m$ and Toeplitz-Hermitian

• 
$$\mathbf{C}_m$$
 is positive semidefinite a

## **Problem Formulation**

- Maximum-Likelihood (ML) formulation
- Likelihood function:

$$f(b_1, \cdots, b_m; \mathbf{h}) = \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}_a} \Pr(\mathbf{h})$$
$$= \prod_{m \in \mathcal{M}_a} \Phi\left(\frac{h}{d}\right)$$

ML formulation:  $\bullet$ 



#### **Problem Reformulation** • Note $\mathbf{h}^H \mathbf{C}_m \mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} c_m(k) \mathbf{h}^H$ where $\mathbf{c}_m = [c_m(0), 2c_m(1), \cdots, 2c_m(1)]$ Define $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}^H$ . Then,

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \max & \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log \Phi\left(\frac{b_m(\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{c}_m^T\mathbf{r}) - t)}{\sigma_m}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & r(k) = \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{\Theta}_k^{L+1}\mathbf{Q}), \ k = 0, \cdots, L \\ & \mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}, \\ & \operatorname{Rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = 1 \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Relax}} \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Relax} & \max \\ \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{r} & \sum_{m=1}^{M} \log \Phi\left(\frac{b_m(\operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{c}_m^T\mathbf{r}) - t)}{\sigma_m}\right) \\ \text{s.t.} & r(k) = \operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{\Theta}_k^{L+1}\mathbf{Q}), \ k = 0, \cdots, L \\ & \mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

- cost via spectral factorization.
- Solution non-unique (i.e., h is non-identifiable) but all equivalence classes define the same autocorrelation sequence.
- Hence, original ML formulation can be solved to global optimality.



• If K > L,  $\mathbf{R}_x$  is positive semidefinite, Toeplitz-Hermitian and banded with

$$\mathbf{\hat{n}} \\ \mathbf{\Theta}_{k}^{L+1}\mathbf{h} = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} c_{m}(k)r(k) = \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{c}_{m}^{T}\mathbf{r}) \\ c_{m}(L)]^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{L+1}, \mathbf{r} = [r(0), \cdots, r(L)]^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{L+1}$$

Rank-1 constraint is redundant [Alkire and Vandenberghe, 2002] High rank solution can always be converted to rank-1 solution with same

# **Final Formulation** $\mathbf{Q} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. efficient. optimal rank-1 solution. **Simulation Results** order known at FC, 500 Monte-Carlo trials. 0.2 0.4 ACF Lag **Future Work:**

## Asilomar 2015, Pacific Grove, USA





Equivalent to the previous formulation. Fewer variables, hence more computationally

Construct sequence  $r(k) = trace(\Theta_k^{L+1}\mathbf{Q})$ 

followed by spectral factorization to obtain

MA(7) model, M = 200 sensors, K = 30, 80 sensors transmit  $b_m = 1$ , random errors resulted in 52 bit flips (26% of measurements), true model

Fig 1: Power Spectrum Reconstruction







Very satisfactory performance, ML formulation robust to bit flips

